UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.     | FILING DATE            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/552,532          | 10/11/2005             | Yasushi Hayashi      | MAT-8748US          | 4368             |
| 52473<br>RATNERPRES | 7590 02/17/201<br>STIA | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| P.O. BOX 980        | CE DA 10402            | BAYOU, AMENE SETEGNE |                     |                  |
| VALLEY FOR          | GE, PA 19482           |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                     |                        |                      | 3746                |                  |
|                     |                        |                      |                     |                  |
|                     |                        |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                     |                        |                      | 02/17/2010          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)     |  |  |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/552,532      | HAYASHI, YASUSHI |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit         |  |  |
|                 | / u c O     u    |  |  |

|  | AMENE S. BAYOU   | 3746  |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>28 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A   | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | R ALLOWANCE.  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavireal (with appeal fee) in compliance  | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or          | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE  | g date of the final rejectio                              | n.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL  | ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi                                    | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                    |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second co | nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);<br>ter form for appeal by materially rec<br>corresponding number of finally reje | TE below);  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.124.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed an amendment of a proposed or a proposed or a proposed amendment (a):  The purposes of appeals the proposed amendment (b):  The purposes of appeals the purposed amendment (b):  The purpose of appeals the purposed amendment (b):  The purposes of appeals the purposed amendment (b):  The purpose of appeals the purposed amendment (b):  The purpose of appeals the purpose of appeals the purposed amendment (b):  The purpose of appeals | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Col owable if submitted in a separate, t  | imely filed amendmer                                      | nt canceling the                         |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  | r be entered and an ex                                    | фіапаціон ог                             |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se   | al and/or appellant fails<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)        | s to provide a<br>).                     |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br/><u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u></li> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ol>   |  | •   |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (   |  | Solidition for allowall                                   | o because.                               |
| 13.  Other:  |  |   |  |
| /Devon C Kramer/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746   | /Amene S Bayou/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 3746   |   |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: amended claim 1 now recites "a circumferential notch defining in part the inner rim of the annular lubricant groove" which has no support in the original disclosure. Although aplicant amended the specification by adding "circumferential notch 197 is also formed in the outer wall of main shaft, the inner rim defined in part by the circumferential notch 197 of the main shaft" there is no detail what so ever in the drawings that indicates that the newly labeled element "197" can be considered as a notch.